A comparative evaluation of control interfaces for a robotic-aided endoscopic capsule platform
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Abstract—Wireless capsule endoscopy offers significant advantages compared to traditional endoscopic procedures, since it limits the invasiveness of gastrointestinal tract screening and diagnosis. Moreover, active locomotion devices would allow endoscopy to be performed in a totally controlled manner, avoiding failures in the correct visualization of pathologies. Previous works demonstrated that magnetic locomotion through a robotic-aided platform would allow to reach this goal reliably. In this paper, the authors present a comparative evaluation of control methodologies and user interfaces for a robotic-aided magnetic platform for capsule endoscopy, controlled through human-robot cooperative and teleoperated control algorithms. A detailed statistical analysis of significant control parameters was performed: teleoperated control is the more reliable control approach and a serial kinematic haptic device results as the most suitable control interface for performing effective robotic-aided endoscopic procedures.

Index Terms—Capsule endoscopy, endoscopic robotic platform, telerobotics, virtual reality and interfaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

In medicine, physicians are faced with several natural limitations that can be sometimes overcome by the use of robotics [1]. For this reason, over the past decade, medical robotics has grown to be an exciting new field within general medicine and surgery [2], [3]. Above all, robotics can standardize procedures making tasks less dependent upon medical users’ skills, and has the potential to improve not only surgical applications, but also diagnostic procedures such as those conducted in the endoscopic field, thanks to high precision and predictability [4], [5].

Starting from the 1990s, there has been increasing activity in the application of robotic technologies for improving endoscopic procedures in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract [6], [7]. The objective of robotic colonoscopy and gastroscopy is to obtain more effective diagnoses, reduce pain in patients, and make diagnostic procedures more uniform, independently of the endoscopist’s manual abilities. In this framework, one of the main issues that arises in the exploitation of a robotic approach, alongside preserving the intuitiveness of traditional procedures, is how the surgeon or physician can control the robotic system.

Control Methodologies for Endoscopic Capsules with Magnetic Locomotion

An ideal control methodology, also interfaced with a dedicated user interface, should allow the physician to command a slave robotic system as naturally as possible, by performing the same movements and gestures, and even holding the same operational tools [8].

In this framework, control methodologies and user interfaces have been investigated in order to select the optimal solution for the control of a magnetic locomotion robotic platform for endoscopic capsule procedures, developed by the authors and described in detail in [9], [10]. The present work describes and compares a human-robot cooperative (HRC) and teleoperated TO control methodologies and their relative user interfaces. As summarized in Fig. 1, knob-based and serial kinematic user interfaces were selected within the TO control representing, together with HRC control, two control approaches and three potential solutions for controlling the robotic system. The study provides a quantitative statistical analysis of relevant control parameters during a typical endoscopic task for controlling the magnetic locomotion of an endoscopic capsule.
from the EE and/or operating room environment. TO control has the disadvantage that the physician loses direct contact with the patient and the system. Therefore, the performance of a reliable medical procedure requires mental abstraction by the medical doctor; for this reason, choosing an efficient and user-friendly interface represents a critical point in the design of a TO robotic system for endoscopy. To address these issues, two different user interfaces were compared for the TO control mode. A 6 degrees of freedom (DOF) knob-based user interface (KBUI) was chosen as possible control peripheral (3D SpacePilot, 3Dconnexion Inc., USA) given its compact and defined operating workspace. The second selected TO control interface was a 6 DOF serial kinematic haptic user interface (SKUI) (Phantom Omni, Sensable, USA), whose serial kinematics resembles robotic arm one.

II. ROBOTIC PLATFORM OVERVIEW AND CONTROL ARCHITECTURE

The proposed robotic platform, schematically represented in Fig. 2, is composed of a 6 DOF industrial robotic arm capable of moving and orienting an external permanent magnet, a wired magnetic capsule and a human machine interface for directing the motion of the robotic arm and displaying real-time sensorial feedback.

Compared with the platform described in [9], robotic arm control algorithms and a dedicated capsule, provided with magnetic link sensor, were developed in this work and are described in detail in the following subsections.

A. Robotic System and Motion Control Architecture

The robotic system, depicted in Fig. 2b, uses a 6 DOF industrial robot RV-3SB and CR2B controller produced by Mitsubishi Electric. Compatibly with the required endoscopic capsule motion in the diagnostic procedure, only 3 DOF were exploited (1 DOF for the forward/backward EE translation and 2 DOF for the roll and yaw EE orientation) in order to reduce complexity while performing the entire procedure. These DOF allow to steer the camera pill with pitch and yaw angles and to move the capsule forward and backward along the colon path. Vertical motion of the EE was not included in the present study also in consideration of the substantially two-dimensional shape of the colon. Vertical position was adjusted at the beginning of the procedure in order to guarantee a stable magnetic link.

A motion control system was developed employing the specific and proprietary real-time architecture of Mitsubishi Electric in order to achieve accurate, smooth and flexible motion control of the anthropomorphic robotic arm. The control architecture is composed of high-level control (HLC) software written in C++, that establishes bidirectional communication based on the UDP/IP protocol with the robotic arm control unit. The software acquires proportional motion command data, \( o(k) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \) at the control cycle \( k \), obtained from the control user interfaces, processes the values exploiting diagonal sensitivity matrices \( G \in \mathbb{R}^{6 \times 6} \), resulting in incremental parameters of absolute motion for translational and orientational movements of the EE. In case of HRC control, a forces and torques six-element vector \( f(k) \) is replaced with the \( o(k) \) vector. The resultant position and orientation incremental values of the EE, \( dp(k) \in \mathbb{R}^6 \), are then added to the previous absolute position and orientation state value \( p(k-1) \), and the resultant \( p(k) \) absolute position and orientation command data are retrieved by the robot controller at each time step (the motion control cycle lasts 7.1 ms):

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  p(k) &= p(k-1) + dp(k) \\
  dp(k) &= G \times o(k)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

The CR2B controller unit implements low-level control software provided by Mitsubishi Electric that waits for absolute position data provided by the HLC software to update the EE position and orientation of each control cycle. After the command value is sent to the servo systems, the EE position and orientation, \( p(k-1) \), and each joint angle, \( j(k-1) \), are sent to the PC and processed by the HLC software in order to constrain the robotic arm motion within the specified robot workspace, to limit the angle ranges and to avoid singularities.

B. Wired Capsule and Sensing Feedback

The endoscopic capsule represented in Fig. 2a has a diameter of 15 mm, a length of 45 mm and weighs 9.6 g. The prototype was developed with the purpose of performing experimental tests and therefore its size is not yet compatible with swallowing. The vision system consists of a wired charge-coupled device camera (KARL STORZ GmbH and Co. KG, Tuttingen, Germany) and 6 white light-emitting diodes (NESW007BT, Nichia Chemical Europe GmbH, Nuremberg, Germany). The image stream is transmitted to the human machine interface and displayed on a dedicated video screen (Fig. 2c). In addition to diagnosis, this image stream is used to maneuver the capsule along the colon lumen, as the main motion control feedback to the operator.

However, vision alone may not be sufficient for the complete control of the capsule in the proposed robotic procedure. In order to address this problem, the implementation of a magnetic link strategy could guarantee a stable, reliable and effective link between the capsule and the robot EE, and avoid losing the device during the procedure. In fact, once an appropriate magnetic link is guaranteed, the capsule will be correctly aligned with the external magnet, and the operator, by exploiting the implemented rotational matrix of the EE motion with respect to the input reference, will be able to control the capsule by simply imposing motion commands on the user interfaces.

From a technical viewpoint, a custom triaxial magnetic sensor, composed of three monoaxial commercially available sensors (Hall Effect Sensor CY-P15A, Chen Yang Technologies GmbH and Co.KG), was integrated into the capsule (total sensor volume of around 200 mm³). The sensor outputs were elaborated in real-time with a software routine developed in LabVIEW 8.6 (National Instruments, Inc., USA) that determines the magnetic field module outputs and compares this value to a predefined magnetic field threshold. An auxiliary video screen of the human machine interface, represented in Fig. 2c, returns the Hall effect sensor feedback via a visual
alarm signal and by an additional acoustic signal, informing
the user about the internal/external permanent magnets mag-
netic link stability. Once the user receives this feedback, the
optimal link has to be recovered before carrying on with the
diagnostic procedures. The external platform view completes
the human machine interface and is shown to the user on the
same auxiliary video screen, represented in Fig. 2c.

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND RESULTS

In order to compare performance of the HRC and TO
control algorithms and of their user interfaces, an ex-vivo
experimental protocol was defined and carried out in collabor-
ation with a team of 15 resident well-trained endoscopists
by means of a quantitative statistic analysis of relevant control
parameters during a typical task of colonoscopy.

A. Experimental Methods

The proposed task consisted of exploring a segment of ex-vivo swine colon composed of straight and curved paths
inserted in a human abdominal phantom (Limbs & Things
Ltd., Bristol, UK) (Fig. 3a) and arranged to mimic human anatomical features of the entire colon tract, from the rectum
to the cecum (approximately 850 mm in length), also including
vertical physiological variations. A 500 mm long segment was
selected for the task; it would be difficult to cover longer
segments mainly because the wires of the current camera
integrated in the capsule hamper the motion of the device for
longer tract.

The experiment was carried out at a fixed constant internal
pressure of 1 mmHg, maintained by an air insufflator
(Surgiflator-40, W.O.M. World of Medicine AG, Germany).
Several targets were placed along the internal tissue wall (from
8 up to 12 spherical targets, 5 mm in diameter) and their
number and position were randomly changed in each trial to
avoid any bias.

The capsule was steered using the robotic-aided approach
with the three different interface solutions, according to the
HRC and TO control methodology. The goal was to navigate
the capsule through the colon, starting from the rectum and
reaching the middle of the transverse colon, and to obtain
a good view of all targets (Fig. 3b). The user was asked
to identify and assert each target color and to conclude the
procedure once completely satisfied with the exploration.

A preliminary pilot test suggested that 45 trials would be
enough to guarantee statistical significance. Therefore, all 15
endoscopists were asked to make 3 test sessions, in order to
test all 3 control solutions.

In order to compare the performance of the control sol-
lutions, the gain matrices (G) implemented within real-time
HRC and TO control were properly tuned to return the same
EE output ranges of speed (maximum value of 15 mm/s).
The distance between the EE with the external magnet and
the phantom model was maintained constant during all the
procedures, at a conservative pre-defined value (100 mm) that
was chosen to guarantee a reliable magnetic link, and also
considering the substantially two-dimensional shape of the
colon. Percentage of identified markers, time needed to execute
the test, distance covered by the EE, number of magnetic
link losses (#MLLs) and robot EE average speed parameters
were derived and analyzed in detail by means of a statistical analysis with MATLAB (Mathworks, Inc., USA) statistical routines. Comparisons were made between the 2 different control methodologies (HRC and TO control) and among the 3 different control interfaces, progressively refining the statistical analysis through a bar chart, box-and-whisker diagrams, t-test (p-values equal to 0.01 and 0.005) and ANOVA approaches.

Starting from the assumption that smoother robotic arm motions provide more reliable and efficient control of the capsule in the GI tract and more fluid real-time image stream, the smoothness of the robotic arm EE trajectories was parameterized and considered as a relevant parameter in the evaluation analysis. Considering turbulence on trajectories as a stochastic process depending on the involved control interface, an estimation of the power spectral density of the signal (using a periodogram) was applied on the robotic arm EE X and Y motion trajectories. The frequency corresponding to 50% of the spectral density area was considered and determined for each executed data-analysis trial for the X ($f_{sdX}$) and Y ($f_{sdY}$) robotic arm movements, and the same statistical analysis was performed on these parameters.

### B. Results and Discussion

All medical doctors successfully completed the ex-vivo experimental trials, thus showing promising results in terms of procedure accuracy and reliability (Fig. 4).

Regarding the statistical analysis, bar charts (Table I) did not show significant differences in the percentage of identified marker, elapsed time, distance, and #MLLs for different control methodologies and interfaces, since symmetric and asymmetric standard deviation intervals were overlapped. However, the EE speed allowed to distinguish between HRC and TO control.

The second approach, based on the box-and-whisker method, revealed differences in distance, #MLLs and time, as regards control methodologies, whereas differences in the user interfaces can be distinguished only by analyzing the robotic arm EE average speed. The box-and-whisker plots of the speed parameter are shown in Fig. 5.

These considerations were also confirmed by the results obtained from the t-test analysis, considering p-values equal to 0.01 and 0.005.

The ANOVA was the last and most detailed statistical analysis carried (threshold value equal to 0.03); results are reported in Table II. This analysis showed that the interfaces did not present relevant differences with respect to the percentage of identified markers. Moreover, the ANOVA suggested that differences regarding distance, #MLLs, and time parameters were related to control methodologies. Likewise, ANOVA

| TABLE I: Mean value, symmetric and asymmetric standard deviation (SSD and ASD) and range of the processed parameters for (a) HRC control and TO control with the (b) KBUI and (c) SKUI. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | HRC control     | KBUI TO control | SKUI TO control |
| **Marker [%]** | Mean            | SSD            | ASD            | Range           |
| 84.6           | 6.2             | 6.2            | 6.2            | 72.7-92.3       |
| **Time [s]**   | 298             | 95             | 179            | 157-499         |
| **Distance [m]** | 2.6            | 1.2            | 1.2            | 1-5.2           |
| **#MLLs**      | 3.5             | 1.5            | 1.5            | 0-6             |
| **EE speed [mm/s]** | 8.9         | 3.4            | 3.4            | 5.4-16.5        |
| **f_{sdX} [mHz]** | 8.9         | 4.2            | 4.2            | 4.1-16.9        |
| **f_{sdY} [mHz]** | 3.7         | 1.3            | 1.3            | 1.9-7.1         |
| **Range**      | 1.2-6.2         | 0.7-2.0        | 0.7-2.0        | 216-707         |
| **Marker [%]** | Mean            | SSD            | ASD            | Range           |
| 79.6           | 11.3            | +11.2/-11.7    | 55.6-92.3      |
| **Time [s]**   | 440             | 124            | +148/-146      | 264-707         |
| **Distance [m]** | 1.3            | 0.4            | +0.5/-0.5      | 0.7-2.0         |
| **#MLLs**      | 1.9             | 1.2            | +1.1/-0.9      | 0-4             |
| **EE speed [mm/s]** | 3.0         | 0.8            | +0.6/-0.6      | 2.0-5.1         |
| **f_{sdX} [mHz]** | 5.9         | 2.5            | +3.2/-1.9      | 1.7-11.2        |
| **f_{sdY} [mHz]** | 2.5         | 0.7            | +0.9/-0.7      | 1.4-3.6         |
| **Range**      | 1.2-6.2         | 0.7-2.0        | 0.7-2.0        | 216-707         |

Fig. 4: Experimental trials performed by medical doctors when controlling the robotic platform by means of (a) HRC control and TO control with the (b) KBUI and with the (c) SKUI.
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found significant statistical differences between interfaces as a function of the EE speed parameter.

The results for the percentage of identified markers deriving from the statistical analysis confirm that the robotic-aided platform allows accurate endoscopic procedures for all different control solutions, since the parameter has a high average value (i.e., around 80%). These percentages are comparable with the results reported in [10], [12]. Although statistical differences were found, the analysis of the elapsed time, distance, and #MLLs parameters reveals that significant differences may only be found in the control methodologies. Although TO control results in a slower procedure, it provides more reliable magnetic link stability, resulting in lower capsule loss compared to HRC control, as confirmed by the #MLLs parameter. Therefore, TO control could represent the more suitable methodology for enabling a reliable capsule control and for more effective performance of the endoscopic procedure. Although the average speed parameter allows distinction between user interfaces, the selection of the optimal user interface should take into account parameters related to accuracy and procedure smoothness, rather than to speed only.

An estimation of the power spectral density of the signal was executed for the EE spatial X and Y trajectories, and the obtained $f_{sdX}$ and $f_{sdY}$ parameters were processed with the same statistical approach. The bar charts statistical analysis did not show any differences between the control methodologies and interfaces ($f_{sdX}$ and $f_{sdY}$ in Table I), while the control methodologies were noticeable by means of the box-and-whisker and $t$-test approaches. The ANOVA allowed significant statistical differences to be detected between the control methodologies and also between the different interfaces ($f_{sdX}$ and $f_{sdY}$ in Table II). Therefore, based on the operating assumption, the results obtained by the analysis of the $f_{sdX}$ and $f_{sdY}$ parameters confirmed TO control methodology and emphasized how the serial kinematic haptic interface could be the most suitable user interface for performing successful endoscopic procedures, since it allows smoother and primed robotic arm motion trajectories and, consequently, more reliable and effective control of the capsule.

### IV. Conclusions

A human-robot cooperative (HRC) control and a teleoperative (TO) control were implemented on a recently developed endoscopic platform, thus enabling robotic-aided magnetic locomotion for an endoscopic capsule in traditional diagnostic procedures of the GI tract, such as colonoscopy. An experimental ex-vivo protocol was carried out in collaboration with a team of 15 endoscopists to compare performance between different control methodologies and user interfaces. All clinicians successfully completed the ex-vivo task, showing promising results in terms of procedure accuracy and reliability. Several parameters were measured in each experimental trial and a statistical analysis was performed, in order to derive the most adequate solution for capsule motion control during endoscopic procedures. The statistical analysis of the control parameters established that TO control is more reliable than HRC control; furthermore, a more detailed analysis on the robotic arm variation frequency parameter demonstrated that, in a TO scenario, a serial kinematics user interface (SKUI) is a more suitable control interface for performing smooth robotic-aided endoscopic procedures.

The proposed study, based on the detailed statistical analysis of significant control parameters, could represent a proper and general approach for the evaluation of the more suitable control methodology. Findings could be generalized to robotic-aided magnetic-based platforms for capsule endoscopy, as in [13]–[15].
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